Original research

BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care

Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: an observational study of 77 681 patients from the Swedish National Diabetes Registry

Sheyda Sofizadeh <a>[b], ^{1,2} Katarina Eeg-Olofsson <a>[b], ^{2,3,4} Marcus Lind^{1,2,4}

To cite: Sofizadeh S, Eeg-Olofsson K, Lind M. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: an observational study of 77 681 patients from the Swedish National Diabetes Registry. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2024;**12**:e003976. doi:10.1136/ bmjdrc-2023-003976

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2023-003976).

The abstract has been presented orally at the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) in Hamburg, Germany, 2023.

Received 12 December 2023 Accepted 22 May 2024



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Marcus Lind; marcus.lind@gu.se

ABSTRACT

Introduction To assess the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (DR) in persons with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes (T2D) to understand the potential need for intensified screening for early detection of T2D. **Research design and methods** Individuals from the Swedish National Diabetes Registry with a retinal photo <2 years after diagnosis of T2D were included. The proportion of patients with retinopathy (simplex or worse) was assessed. Patient characteristics and risk factors at diagnosis were analyzed in relation to DR with logistic regression.

Results In total, 77 681 individuals with newly diagnosed T2D, mean age 62.6 years, 41.1% females were included. Of these, 13 329 (17.2%) had DR.

DR was more common in older persons (adjusted OR 1.03 per 10-year increase, 95% Cl 1.01 to 1.05) and men compared with women, OR 1.10 (1.05 to 1.14). Other variables associated with DR were OR (95% Cl): lower education 1.08 (1.02 to 1.14); previous stroke 1.18 (1.07 to 1.30); chronic kidney disease 1.29 (1.07 to 1.56); treatment with acetylsalicylic acid 1.14 (1.07 to 1.21); ACE inhibitors 1.12 (1.05 to 1.19); and alpha blockers 1.41 (1.15 to 1.73). DR was more common in individuals born in Asia (OR 1.16, 95% Cl 1.08 to 1.25) and European countries other than those born in Sweden (OR 1.11, 95% Cl 1.05 to 1.18).

Conclusions Intensified focus on screening of T2D may be needed in Sweden in clinical practice since nearly onefifth of persons have retinopathy at diagnosis of T2D. The prevalence of DR was higher in men, birthplace outside of Sweden, and those with a history of stroke, kidney disease, and hypertension.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the most common microvascular complication of diabetes.¹ High blood glucose levels are a critical risk factor for DR, and the risk and severity of DR are directly related to glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level over time in both type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes (T2D).^{2–5} Since DR typically develops over several years, individuals with DR at diagnosis

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes (T2D) is used as a surrogate marker to indicate late detected T2D, but contemporary and populationbased studies are sparse.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ The study reveals that a significant proportion (17.2%) of individuals newly diagnosed with T2D in Sweden already have DR at diagnosis, indicating that a significant proportion of patients have had long-term hyperglycemia before diagnosis.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE, OR POLICY

⇒ Attention is needed in clinical practice in Sweden regarding screening for T2D in persons with a risk profile and further research is urgently needed regarding potential benefits of structured screening in the population.

of T2D generally have elevated blood glucose levels long before diagnosis.⁶ Hypertension in conjunction with hyperglycemia is also a wellestablished risk factor for DR progression.⁷ Other risk factors that have been associated with retinopathy in persons with T2D are Body Mass Index (BMI), dyslipidemia, insulin treatment, and nephropathy.^{8–11}

The Swedish National Diabetes Registry (NDR) includes the majority of persons with T2D within the country.¹² Diabetes care in Sweden has significantly improved over time and more patients are reaching glucose control targets. Given intensive treatment in patients with newly diagnosed T2D, undetected hyperglycemia before diagnosis of T2D may be at least as harmful or more so than after diagnosis of T2D. When T2D is undetected individuals may unknowingly have glycemic levels clearly above targets, while

BMJ

after diagnosis modern diabetes care enables patients in many instances to achieve HbA1c targets associated with low risk of diabetes complications.¹² Early hyperglycemia can also be detrimental over time by virtue of legacy effects, and before diagnosis patients do not receive the same level of attention in terms of screening and treatment for complications.^{13 14}

Prevalence of retinopathy at diagnosis of T2D has been used as a surrogate marker for late detected T2D in several other studies.¹⁵ The aim of the current study was to evaluate to what extent DR exists in persons with newly diagnosed T2D in Sweden and to investigate factors related to increased risk of DR among patients included in the NDR, which includes the absolute majority of persons with T2D in Sweden.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (Dnr 977-17).

Data sources

We conducted a registry-based study using data from the NDR. After patients provide verbal informed consent, data are reported directly to the NDR from clinical visits to primary care clinics and hospital diabetes clinics^{12 16} and include risk factors, medications, and complications for individuals with diabetes. Data for the current population of persons with T2D were linked with data from the Swedish Cause of Death Registry, the National Inpatient and Outpatient Registries, the Prescribed Drug Registry, and the Longitudinal Integration Database for Health Insurance and Labour Market Studies.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Study population

Individuals diagnosed with T2D from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 with data about DR less than 2 years after diagnosis of T2D were included. Retinal screening is recommended to be performed soon after diagnosis of T2D. Retinal screening is performed by an ophthalmologist or a nurse specialized in ophthalmology. If more severe stages of retinopathy exist, an ophthalmologist is consulted. Information on retinopathy is recorded in the NDR by nurses and physicians working in primary care and outpatient diabetes clinics at hospital. Retinopathy is recorded as non, simplex, non-proliferative, or proliferative retinopathy. However, the variable with best coverage only includes information on whether any retinopathy exists. This variable was used in the current study since the prevalence of DR at diagnosis of T2D was estimated. The procedure for retinal screening has been described in greater detail in earlier studies.¹⁹

T2D diagnosis required a clinical diagnosis of T2D and fulfilling the following epidemiologic definition: treatment with either diet or non-insulin antihyperglycemic agents only or diagnosis at 40 years of age or older receiving insulin therapy or insulin and oral antihyperglycemic agents.^{16 20} Persons with a diagnosis of type 1

diabetes or less than 18 years of age at index date were excluded.

There were 138888 adults in the NDR with newly diagnosed T2D. Of these, 61207 (44%) did not have data about DR less than 2 years after diagnosis (figure 1). A total of 77681 persons with T2D remained and were included in the cohort.

Study procedures

The number and proportion of patients with DR at diagnosis of T2D were calculated. The following variables were evaluated if they were related to the presence of DR: age, sex, smoking, HbA1c level, BMI, blood pressure, level of education, geographic area of birth, diabetesrelated medications, renal complications, and cardiovascular comorbidities.

To be representative of the time point of T2D diagnosis (first entry date in the NDR at year of diagnosis), HbA1c and blood pressure measurements had to exist less than 4weeks after diagnosis of diabetes to be included in the analyses. BMI and smoking data had to exist within 6weeks and 6months after diagnosis, respectively.

HbA1c was reported according to the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry standard, measured in mmol/mol, and converted to percent units according to the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program for dual reporting criteria.²¹ Laboratory methods at participating care units for analyzing HbA1c were regularly checked with central reference samples of HbA1c to ensure high accuracy.²² HbA1c categories included commonly used targets of HbA1c as well as cut-offs used for very poor glucose control in the NDR of 70 mmol/ mol (8.6%).^{12 23} HbA1c was categorized as <48 mmol/mol (6.5%), 48-52 mmol/mol (6.5%-6.9%), 53-57 mmol/mol (7.0%-7.4%), 58-70 mmol/mol (7.5%-8.6%), and >70 mmol/mol (>8.6%). Blood pressure was defined as the mean value of two supine readings with a cuff of appropriate size and after at least 5 min of rest. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) was categorized as <110 mm Hg with increments of 10 mm Hg with the highest category \geq 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) as <60, $60 - <70, 70 - <80, 80 - <85, and \ge 85 \text{ mm}$ Hg. Commonly used levels of BMI for classifying underweight normal weight, obesity, and severe obesity were used when evaluating BMI²³²⁴: <18.5, 18.5-<25, 25-<30, 30-<35, and \geq 35 kg/m². Smoking was categorized as "No" (never smokers and previous smokers) versus "Yes" (current smokers), education level as up to 9 years, 10–12 years, or college/university; and geographic area of birth as Africa, Asia, Europe (excluding Sweden), Oceania, North America, South America, and Sweden.

International classification of diseases (ICD-10) codes were used to define study comorbidities (ICD codes are described in online supplemental material). Comorbidities were investigated back until year 1997 when ICD-10 was introduced. If a diagnosis of a certain comorbidity existed during the time period from 1997 until diagnosis of T2D, it was regarded as prevalent. The following



Figure 1 Flow chart of participants in the current study recruited from the National Diabetes Registry (NDR). BMI, Body Mass Index; DR, diabetic retinopathy; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

comorbidities were evaluated: coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke, atrial fibrillation, heart failure, coronary artery bypass graft, peripheral arterial disease and chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Anatomical therapeutic classifications based on the prescribed drug registry were used for evaluation of drugs. The following classes of drugs were evaluated: acetylsalicylic acid, antihypertensive, beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, alpha-blockers, and diuretics. Presence of hyperlipidemia and hypertension before diagnosis of T2D was both defined by use of prescribed drugs before diagnosis of T2D.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics are presented for patients with T2D who had a registration regarding retinopathy in NDR <2 years after diagnosis of T2D (Main cohort) and patients with T2D without registration about retinopathy examinations in the same time window (Excluded group). The groups were compared with comparative tests

(t-test and standard mean difference) to describe any differences. $^{25-27}$

In patients with newly diagnosed T2D with a registration of retinopathy examination (Main cohort), characteristics of the proportion of patients with DR were compared with those without DR and descriptive statistics are presented and the groups were compared with t-test and χ^2 -test. Multiple logistic regression was used to evaluate variables associated with DR at diagnosis of T2D. Results are presented as adjusted ORs with 95% CIs.

Variables associated with DR were analyzed with logistic regression in three different subcohorts to include as many individuals as possible for a certain variable. Missing variables were handled in the regression analysis using complete cases. Each analysis included individuals with data on all variables. Subcohort 1 (n=73350) included all patients with data on age, sex, educational level, comorbidities, diabetes-related drugs, and HbA1c. Subcohort 2 (n=56764) included patients who had blood pressure and BMI data in addition to subcohort 1. Subcohort 3 (n=52697) included patients who had smoking data in

Role of the funding source

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, preparation of the manuscript, or decision to submit for publication.

RESULTS

Prevalence of DR

A total of 77681 individuals with newly diagnosed T2D were included in the current study (main cohort). Overall, patient characteristics were numerically similar to the 61 207 patients without information on retinopathy less than 2 years after diagnosis of T2D (table 1). Mean age in the main cohort compared with excluded patients was 62.6 and 62.8 years and 41.1% and 41.8% were females, respectively. Geographic area of birth was also similar with 76.2% and 74.2% born in Sweden, 11.6% and 11.7% in other European countries, and 8.5% vs 9.7% in Asia, respectively. Education level 10–12 years was 48.1% vs 46.1% and college/university was 22.9% vs 22.5%, respectively.

The frequency of comorbidities was numerically similar with a prevalence of CHD of 12.0% vs 13.5% and stroke 3.8% vs 4.5%, respectively. Mean HbA1c level was 58.1 mmol/mol (7.5%) and 54.3 mmol/mol (7.1%). Although significant differences between the groups existed for several variables, the numeric differences were small illustrated by low standard mean differences (table 1). Percentage of patients in the main cohort and excluded patients having missing data around the time of T2D diagnosis of HbA1c, smoking, BMI, and blood pressure were overall similar in the two groups, but a slightly larger proportion of included patients had missing data on blood pressure (online supplemental table S1).

In total, 13329 (17.2%) had DR at diagnosis of T2D. Patient characteristics for persons with and without DR in the main cohort by diagnosis of DR are presented in table 2.

Risk factors for DR

We evaluated adjusted ORs in subcohorts of individuals with data on the covariates (figure 1). Characteristics were overall similar in subcohorts 1–3 (online supplemental table S2).

In subcohort 1, 73350 (94%) individuals with data on age, sex, comorbidities, educational level, geographic area of birth, prescribed medications, and glycemic control (HbA1c within 4 weeks after diagnosis) were analyzed with logistic regression (figure 2).

DR was more common in older persons, by OR 1.03 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.05, p=0.004) per 10 years increase and more common in men compared with women OR 1.10 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.14, p<0.001). Other variables associated with DR were lower education, OR 1.08 for primary

versus college/university (95% CI 1.02 to 1.14, p=0.009), previous stroke, OR 1.18 (95% CI 1.07 to 1.30, p=0.001), CKD, OR 1.29 (95% CI 1.07 to 1.56, p=0.008), treatment with acetylsalicylic acid, OR 1.14 (95% CI 1.07 to 1.21, p<0.001), ACE inhibitors, OR 1.12 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.19, p<0.001), and alpha blockers, OR 1.41 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.73, p<0.001). With respect to geographic area, DR was more common in individuals born in Asia, OR 1.16 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.25, p<0.001) and European countries other than Sweden, OR 1.11 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.18, p<0.001) compared with those born in Sweden (figure 2).

In subcohort 2, 56764 patients (73% of the main cohort) additionally had data on SBP and DBP less than 4weeks after diagnosis of T2D and BMI less than 6weeks after diagnosis. The risk of DR increased with higher SBP with an OR of 1.33 (95% CI 1.20 to 1.46, p<0.001) for an SBP \geq 140 mm Hg compared with those having an SBP of 110–119 mm Hg. In contrast, the risk of DR decreased with higher BMI with an OR of 0.75 (95% CI 0.69 to 0.81, p<0.001) and 0.72 (95% CI 0.66 to 0.78, p<0.001) for those with BMI 30–34.9 and \geq 35 kg/m² compared with 18.5–24.9 kg/mg², respectively (figure 3).

In subcohort 3, 52697 patients (68% in the main cohort) additionally had data on smoking at less than 6 months after diagnosis of T2D. Smoking showed no association with DR with an OR of 1.05 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.12, p=0.17). ORs for other variables were similar to those from subcohort 1 and 2 (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS

In this nationwide study from Sweden, using DR at diagnosis of T2D as a marker for late detected T2D, almost one-fifth of patients had DR at diagnosis of T2D. DR was more common in men, individuals born in Asia, and those with a history of stroke and kidney disease. High SBP and elevated HbA1c levels were also associated with DR. A higher proportion of patients with normal weight had DR at diagnosis of T2D compared with those who were overweight or obese. DR was less common in individuals with previous CHD.

Prevalence of retinopathy as an indicator for late detected T2D has been used in several earlier studies.¹⁵ However, contemporary population-based studies of the prevalence of DR are overall spars. In a UK-based study examining newly diagnosed persons with T2D until year 2017, the prevalence of DR ranged from 14% to 25% depending on whether pre-diabetes had been recorded as diagnosis or not before diagnosis of T2D.²⁸ A systematic review and meta-analysis including studies generally performed more than 10 years ago found that the pooled prevalence of DR at diagnosis of T2D was 14.6% (95% CI 11.9% to 17.3%).¹⁵ Some studies have reported that DR is present in up to 15%–20% of patients at the time of diagnosis of T2D, while others have reported that DR is present in around 5%–10%.^{6 15 29–33}

Hyperglycemia and hypertension are risk factors for DR in persons with established T2D as confirmed

 Table 1
 Patient characteristics at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes (T2D) shown both for the main cohort and excluded persons

 without information of retinopathy screening within 2 years of their diagnosis

	Main cohort (n=77681)	Excluded (n=61 207)	P value	SMD
Age years, mean (SD)	62.6 (12.4)	62.8 (13.7)	0.012	0.014
Sex female, n (%)	31944 (41.1)	25 593 (41.8)	0.010	0.014
Smoking, n (%)				
No smoking	58944 (84.7)	38 006 (83.7)	0.001	0.020
Smoking	10622 (15.3)	7423 (16.3)		
HbA1c (mmol/mol) at inclusion, mean (SD)	58.1 (20.9)	54.3 (18.2)	<0.001	0.194
HbA1c (mmol/mol) at inclusion, n (%)				
<48	26726 (36.0)	24736 (43.2)	<0.001	0.195
48–52	15852 (21.3)	12293 (21.5)		
53–57	7885 (10.6)	6059 (10.6)		
58–70	9305 (12.5)	6611 (11.5)		
>70	14558 (19.6)	7569 (13.2)		
Place of birth, n (%)				
Sweden	59169 (76.2)	45384 (74.2)	<0.001	0.058
Europe except Sweden	9014 (11.6)	7149 (11.7)		
North America	205 (0.3)	200 (0.3)		
South America	691 (0.9)	544 (0.9)		
Asia	6589 (8.5)	5931 (9.7)		
Africa	1989 (2.6)	1968 (3.2)		
Oceania	12 (0.0)	14 (0.0)		
Lipid-lowering therapy, n (%)	29286 (37.7)	24148 (39.5)	0.006	0.015
Stroke, n (%)	2940 (3.8)	2749 (4.5)	<0.001	0.056
Coronary heart disease, n (%)	9318 (12.0)	8276 (13.5)	<0.001	0.057
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	6225 (8.0)	5855 (9.6)	<0.001	0.055
Heart failure, n (%)	3592 (4.6)	3644 (6.0)	<0.001	0.059
Peripheral arterial disease, n (%)	692 (0.9)	628 (1.0)	0.011	0.014
Coronary artery bypass graft, n (%)	982 (1.3)	884 (1.4)	0.004	0.016
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	722 (0.9)	834 (1.4)	<0.001	0.041
Any hypertensive treatment, n (%)	50208 (64.6)	41 198 (67.3)	<0.001	0.056
Acetylsalicylic acid, n (%)	14562 (18.7)	12237 (20.0)	<0.001	0.032
ACE inhibitor, n (%)	19037 (24.5)	14864 (24.3)	0.343	0.005
Angiotensin receptor blocker, n (%)	19971 (25.7)	17141 (28.0)	<0.001	0.052
Alpha-blocker, n (%)	601 (0.8)	551 (0.9)	0.011	0.014
Beta-blocker, n (%)	25990 (33.5)	22049 (36.0)	<0.001	0.054
Calcium channel antagonist/blocker, n (%)	19942 (25.7)	16580 (27.1)	<0.001	0.032
Diuretics, n (%)	15677 (20.2)	13420 (21.9)	<0.001	0.043
Education category, n (%)				
9 years	19691 (29.0)	12675 (31.4)	<0.001	0.054
10-12 years	32700 (48.1)	18605 (46.1)		
College/university	15 593 (22.9)	9062 (22.5)		
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), mean (SD)	135.9 (16.4)	135.2 (16.5)	<0.001	0.043
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg), mean (SD)	80.7 (10.3)	80.2 (10.4)	<0.001	0.048
BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)	31.3 (5.9)	31.2 (6.0)	< 0.001	0.027

Excluded persons had no information on DR at the time of diagnosis of T2D in the Swedish National Diabetes Register. P values are obtained from t-tests for continuous variables and χ^2 -tests for frequencies. HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; SMD, standardized mean difference. <55 years

55-64 years

65-74 years

No smoking

Smoking

<48

48-52

53-57

58-70

Sweden

>70

Asia

Africa

Oceania

Stroke, n (%)

75+ years

BMJ Open Diab Res Care: first published as 10.1136/bmjdrc-2023-003976 on 4 June 2024. Downloaded from http://drc.bmj.com/ on July 1, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

With DR at diagnosis Without All patients n=77 of T2D Yes DR n=13 DR at diagnosis of T2D No Characteristics 681 (100%) DR n=64 352 (82.8%) P value 329 (17.2%) 0.81 Age years, mean (SD) 62.62 (12.41) 62.6 (12.4) 62.6 (12.4) Age category, n (%) 0.082 19560 (25.2) 3432 (25.7) 16128 (25.1) 20623 (26.5) 3540 (26.6) 17083 (26.5) 24587 (31.7) 4103 (30.8) 20484 (31.8) 12911 (16.6) 2254 (16.9) 10657 (16.6) Sex female, n (%) 31944 (41.1) 5127 (38.5) 26817 (41.7) < 0.001 Smoking, n (%) < 0.001 58944 (84.7) 9967 (83.7) 48977 (84.9) 10622 (15.3) 1938 (16.3) 8684 (15.1) HbA1c (mmol/mol) at inclusion, mean (SD) 58.07 (20.93) 61.8 (23.12) 57.3 (20.4) < 0.001 HbA1c (mmol/mol) at inclusion. n (%) 26726 (36.0) 3886 (30.6) 22840 (37.1) < 0.001 15852 (21.3) 2462 (19.4) 13390 (21.7) 7885 (10.6) 1295 (10.2) 6590 (10.7) 9305 (12.5) 1777 (14.0) 7528 (12.2) 14558 (19.6) 3278 (25.8) 11280 (18.3) Place of birth, n (%) < 0.001 59169 (76.2) 9939 (74.6) 49230 (76.5) Europe except Sweden 9014 (11.6) 1640 (12.3) 7374 (11.5) North America 205 (0.3) 35 (0.3) 170 (0.3) South America 691 (0.9) 109 (0.8) 582 (0.9) 6589 (8.5) 1253 (9.4) 5336 (8.3) 1989 (2.6) 350 (2.6) 1639 (2.5) 12 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 11 (0.0) Lipid-lowering therapy, n (%) 29286 (37.7) 5071 (38.0) 24215 (37.6) 0.826 2940 (3.8) 597 (4.5) 2343 (3.6) < 0.001 Coronary heart disease, n (%) 9318 (12.0) 7678 (11.9) 0.006 1640 (12.3) Atrial fibrillation, n (%) 6225 (8.0) 1099 (8.2) 5126 (8.0) 0.287 Heart failure, n (%) 3592 (4.6) 2940 (4.6) 0.111 652 (4.9) Peripheral arterial disease, n (%) 692 (0.9) 0.005 147 (1.1) 545 (0.8) 0.027 Coronary artery bypass graft, n (%) 982 (1.3) 195 (1.5) 787 (1.2) Chronic kidney disease, n (%) 722 (0.9) 158 (1.2) 564 (0.9) 0.001 Any hypertensive treatment, n (%) 50208 (64.6) 8518 (63.9) 41 690 (64.8) 0.055 < 0.001 Acetylsalicylic acid, n (%) 14562 (18.7) 2682 (20.1) 11880 (18.5) ACE inhibitor, n (%) 19037 (24.5) 3453 (25.9) 15584 (24.2) < 0.001 Angiotensin receptor blocker, n (%) 19971 (25.7) 3272 (24.5) 16699 (25.9) 0.001 Alpha-blocker, n (%) 601 (0.8) 138 (1.0) 463 (0.7) < 0.001 Beta-blocker, n (%) 25990 (33.5) 21 566 (33.5) 0.480 4424 (33.2) Calcium channel antagonist/blocker, n (%) 19942 (25.7) 3495 (26.2) 16447 (25.6) 0.113 0.750 Diuretics, n (%) 15677 (20.2) 2676 (20.1) 13001 (20.2) Education category, n (%) 19691 (29.0) 3645 (30.7) 16046 (28.6) < 0.001 32700 (48.1) 5564 (46.9) 27 136 (48.3) College/university 15593 (22.9) 2648 (22.3) 12945 (23.1)

Continued

6

9 years

10-12 years

Table 2 Continued

Characteristics	All patients n=77 681 (100%)	With DR at diagnosis of T2D Yes DR n=13 329 (17.2%)	Without DR at diagnosis of T2D No DR n=64 352 (82.8%)	P value
SBP (mm Hg), mean (SD)	135.93 (16.41)	137.5 (17.3)	135.6 (16.20)	<0.001
SBP (mm Hg), n (%)				
<110	1831 (2.6)	302 (2.5)	1529 (2.6)	< 0.001
110-<120	5591 (8.0)	841 (7.0)	4750 (8.1)	
120-<130	14088 (20.0)	2214 (18.5)	11874 (20.4)	
130–<140	19201 (27.3)	3112 (26.0)	16089 (27.6)	
≥140	29557 (42.1)	5494 (45.9)	24063 (41.3)	
DBP (mm Hg), mean (SD)	80.71 (10.27)	81.2 (10.63)	80.6 (10.2)	< 0.001
DBP (mm Hg), n (%)				
< 60	640 (0.9)	116 (1.0)	524 (0.9)	< 0.001
60-<70	5800 (8.3)	974 (8.1)	4826 (8.3)	
70–<80	18833 (26.8)	3008 (25.2)	15825 (27.2)	
80-<85	20560 (29.3)	3481 (29.1)	17 079 (29.3)	
≥85	24376 (34.7)	4375 (36.6)	20001 (34.3)	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	31.3 (5.9)	30.9 (5.9)	31.4 (5.9)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m²), n (%)				
<18.5	167 (0.3)	38 (0.4)	129 (0.2)	< 0.001
18.5–<25	6939 (11.1)	1389 (13.0)	5550 (10.7)	
25-<30	21861 (34.8)	3817 (35.8)	18044 (34.6)	
30-<35	19417 (30.9)	3152 (29.6)	16265 (31.2)	
≥35	14373 (22.9)	2264 (21.2)	12 109 (23.2)	

Excluded persons had no information on DR at the time of diagnosis of T2D in the Swedish National Diabetes Register. P values are obtained from t-tests for continuous variables and χ^2 -tests for frequencies.

BMI, Body Mass Index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; SBP, systolic blood pressure;

in randomized settings.² ⁷ Studies have also reported hyperglycemia and hypertension to be more common in patients with DR at diagnosis of T2D.^{1 15 34} DR at diagnosis of T2D has also been reported to be more common in persons with renal complications whereas smoking has shown divergent associations.^{5 32} In different populations of individuals with DR has been more common in men compared with women.³⁵

Experience from clinical practice and studies in type 1 diabetes, where the initial hyperglycemia is generally more abrupt, suggest that hyperglycemia generally needs to exist over a long period of time before DR appears.³⁴ Data indicate that diabetes is generally present for at least 5 years before signs of retinopathy appear, and it may be more than 10 years after diagnosis of diabetes before clinical diagnosis of DR.⁶ That almost one-fifth of patients in the current study had DR at diagnosis of T2D indicates that long-standing hyperglycemia before diagnosis of T2D is relatively common in Sweden, and hyperglycemia increases risk of complications at diagnosis of T2D. Furthermore, legacy effects of earlier hyperglycemia may worsen prognosis after diagnosis compared with persons with early detection.^{13 14} Moreover, many individuals do not receive treatments for preventing diabetes complications before diagnosis of T2D such as lipid-lowering and

antihypertensive drugs, lifestyle advice, and screening programs for complications.²³ It is possible that diabetes complications and mortality can be reduced during this high-risk phase if diabetes is detected early, and intensive prevention programs are started. ACE inhibitors and angiotensin-2 receptor blockers are likely beneficial in preventing or slowing the progression of early DR.³⁶ Further, studies indicate that the use of antiplatelet/anticoagulant medications may reduce the risk of developing non-proliferative DR among patients with T2D while fibrates may benefit diabetic macular edema.^{36 37}

Diabetes care in Sweden has significantly improved over time with a large proportion of persons with T2D obtaining a target HbA1c level <52 mmol/mol (6.9%).³⁸ However, that a relatively large proportion of patients have DR at diagnosis of T2D indicates that strategies for detecting T2D at earlier stages need to improve. Although diabetes care for persons with established T2D has substantially improved over time, detecting diabetes at an early stage has not achieved corresponding success.¹² When clearly elevated glucose levels exist before diagnosis, the harm due to legacy effects will likely not be evident until later years.^{13 14}

Guidelines suggest that overweight and obese individuals should be screened for T2D.^{23 39} Other individuals

Age at diagnosis (per 10 yrs)	M	1.03 (1.01, 1.05), p=0.004
Male sex	I⊕I	1.10 (1.05, 1.14), p<.001
HbA1c (mmol/mol)		
48–52 vs <48	⊢ ◆-I	1.08 (1.02, 1.14), p=0.006
53–57 vs <48	⊢⊷⊣	1.16 (1.08, 1.24), p<.001
58–70 vs <48	⊢⊷⊣	1.40 (1.31, 1.49), p<.001
>70 vs <48		1.76 (1.66, 1.85), p<.001
Birth country		
Africa vs Sweden	⊢ → 1	1.02 (0.89, 1.16), p=0.81
Asia vs Sweden	⊢⊷⊣	1.16 (1.08, 1.25), p<.001
Europe except Sweden vs Sweden	⊢⊷⊣	1.11 (1.05, 1.18), p<.001
North America vs Sweden	⊢ I	0.96 (0.65, 1.41), p=0.83
South America vs Sweden	⊢ → 	0.91 (0.73, 1.13), p=0.40
Pre-existing medications (yes vs no)		
Antihypertensives	⊢ ◆ I	0.94 (0.88, 1.01), p=0.098
Lipid-lowering treatments	F ∳ 1	1.01 (0.97, 1.06), p=0.62
Stroke	⊢ ◆ ⊣	1.18 (1.07, 1.30), p=0.001
Coronary heart disease	⊢.	0.92 (0.86, 1.00), p=0.047
Atrial fibrillation	⊦+ ♦ -1	1.05 (0.97, 1.14), p=0.23
Heart failure	⊢ ↓	0.99 (0.89, 1.09), p=0.78
Peripheral arterial disease	⊦––●––1	1.15 (0.95, 1.40), p=0.16
Coronary artery bypass graft	⊢	1.17 (0.99, 1.39), p=0.070
Chronic kidney disease (CKD 3-5)		1.29 (1.07, 1.56), p=0.008
Pharmacological treatment (yes vs no)		
Acetylsalicylic acid	F◆I	1.14 (1.07, 1.21), p<.001
ACE inhibitor	⊢⊷⊣	1.12 (1.05, 1.19), p<.001
Angiotensin receptor blocker	⊦∳⊣	1.01 (0.95, 1.07), p=0.86
Alpha blocker	⊢ →	1.41 (1.15, 1.73), p<.001
Beta blocker	⊢ •1	0.99 (0.94, 1.05), p=0.70
Calcium channel antagonist	I ◆I	1.07 (1.01, 1.12), p=0.014
Diuretics	F ♦ 1	1.00 (0.95, 1.06), p=0.95
Education		
9 years vs college/university	ŀ✦I	1.08 (1.02, 1.14), p=0.009
10–12 years vs college/university	⊢♦ 1	0.99 (0.94, 1.04), p=0.67

Odds ratio (95% CI)

Figure 2 ORs of retinopathy by patient characteristics in persons with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes from multivariable logistic regression models in subcohort 1 (n=73350) with information on age, sex, comorbidities, educational level, geographic area of birth, prescribed medications, and glycemic control (HbA1c within 4 weeks after diagnosis). Reference groups for each variable are indicated by the y-axis labels. Points and error bars represent ORs and 95% CIs. HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c.

in focus are first-degree relatives of individuals with T2D, that is, having a hereditary component. Specific risk scores exist that can be used for screening for T2D.⁴⁰ However, clearly structured programs for screening risk groups are lacking in most countries, while screening is generally random and, in many instances, may be missed.

In the ADDITION study, structured screening for T2D was evaluated, but clear benefits on a population level could not be confirmed.⁴¹ More research is needed into implementing structured screening programs for at-risk persons with T2D to detect disease at an early stage. Currently, by greater focus in clinical practice by

OR (95% CI)

OR (95% CI)

Age at diagnosis (per 10 yrs)	ie <mark>l</mark>	0.98 (0.96–1.01), p=0.21
Male sex	+◆+	1.11 (1.06–1.16), p<.001
HbA1c (mmol/mol)		
48–52 vs <48	⊢←-	1.12 (1.05–1.19), p<.001
53–57 vs <48	⊢◆-1	1.21 (1.12–1.31), p<.001
58–70 vs <48	⊢+-	1.41 (1.31–1.52), p<.001
>70 vs <48	⊢+-	1.73 (1.63–1.84), p<.001
Birth country		
Africa vs Sweden	∳	1.00 (0.86–1.16), p=0.99
Asia vs Sweden	⊢♣-1	1.15 (1.06–1.25), p=0.001
Europe except Sweden vs Sweden	⊢∙-1	1.14 (1.06–1.22), p<.001
North America vs Sweden	⊢	0.92 (0.59–1.44), p=0.71
South America vs Sweden	⊢ → −−1	0.93 (0.73–1.19), p=0.58
Pre-existing medications (yes vs no)		
Antihypertensives	⊢ ● ⊣	0.96 (0.88–1.04), p=0.29
Lipid-lowering treatments	H ◆ -I	1.04 (0.98–1.10), p=0.21
Stroke	⊢∙⊷-1	1.16 (1.04–1.30), p=0.011
Coronary heart disease	⊢	0.93 (0.85–1.02), p=0.11
Atrial fibrillation	⊢◆-1	1.12 (1.02–1.23), p=0.018
Heart failure	⊢ .	0.98 (0.87–1.11), p=0.80
Peripheral arterial disease		1.05 (0.83–1.32), p=0.69
Coronary artery bypass graft		1.16 (0.96 - 1.41), p=0.12
Chronic kidney disease (CKD 3-5)		1.23(0.99-1.53) p=0.063
Pharmacological treatment (ves vs no)		
Acetysalicylic acid		1 19 (1 11–1 28) n< 001
		1.12(1.04 - 1.19) p=0.001
Angiotensin recentor blocker		1.00(0.94 - 1.07) p=0.93
Alpha blocker	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.39(1.11-1.75) p=0.005
Beta blocker	н е н ¹ .	0.97 (0.92 - 1.04) p = 0.41
Calcium channel antagonist	· · · ·	1.04 (0.98 - 1.10), p 0.17
Diuretics		1.02(0.96-1.09) p=0.46
Education		1.02 (0.00 1.00), p 0.40
9 years vs college/university		1.09(1.02-1.16) p=0.008
10–12 years vs college/university		1.03(1.02-1.10), p=0.000
Systolic blood pressure (mmHa)		1.01 (0.00–1.00), p=0.00
<110 vs 110_119		1.04 (0.88–1.23) n=0.62
120_129 vs 110_119		1.11(1.01-1.22) p=0.037
130_139 vs 110_119		1.13(1.02-1.24) p=0.015
>140 vs 110_119		1.33(1.20-1.46) p< 0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHa)		1.00 (1.20–1.40), p 4.001
<60 vs 70_79	⊢	1 11 (0.87 - 1.41) p = 0.41
$60-69 \times 70-79$		1.11(0.07 - 1.41), p=0.41
80-84 vs 70-79		1.10(1.00-1.20), p=0.042 1.04(0.98-1.10) p=0.23
> 85 vc 70 - 79		1.04 (0.98 - 1.10), p=0.20
$= 05 \sqrt{570-79}$		1.04 (0.90–1.11), β=0.20
-185 vc 185 240		1.10(0.80, 1.76) p=0.20
-10.3 vs $10.3-24.5$		1.19(0.30-1.70), p=0.39
20-23.3 vs 10.0-24.3 20. 24.0 vs 19.5 24.0		0.03(0.77-0.90), p<.001
50-34.3 VS 10.3-24.3 525 vo 19 5 24 0		0.73(0.03-0.01), p<.001
- 00 VS 10.0-24.9		- 0.72 (0.00-0.70), p<.001
	0.5 1 2	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	

Figure 3 ORs of retinopathy by patient characteristics in persons with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes (T2D) from multivariable logistic regression models in subcohort 2 (n=56764) with information on age, sex, comorbidities, educational level, geographic area of birth, prescribed medications, and glycemic control (HbA1c within 4 weeks after diagnosis) and also including systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure less than 4 weeks after diagnosis of T2D and BMI less than 6 weeks after diagnosis. Reference groups for each variable are indicated by the y-axis labels. Points and error bars represent ORs and 95% CIs. BMI, Body Mass Index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c.

extended screening of T2D, it may also be possible to detect pre-diabetes and prevent T2D more efficiently through lifestyle interventions.⁴²

In the current study, most risk factors for DR at diagnosis were expected. However, we did not expect that those with high BMI were less likely to have DR compared with those with normal weight. It is possible that individuals with normal BMI who end up developing T2D may be screened later for T2D after a more long-term hyperglycemia. It was also of interest that individuals born in Asia and then migrating to Sweden had higher risk of DR at diagnosis of T2D compared with those born in Sweden. One possible explanation is that this patient group may be less informed regarding T2D risk factors and need

9

for screening. Another is that disease progression differs since persons born in Asia who are not overweight or obese generally develop T2D more often compared with those born in Western countries.^{43 44} Retinopathy progression has shown to be more common in certain ethnic groups in earlier studies including Indian, Pakistani, and South Asian African ethnic groups.^{10 45 46}

One strength of the current study is the populationbased design where the NDR covers the majority of persons with T2D in Sweden. A limitation is that 44% of the newly diagnosed had no data available in the NDR on retinopathy less than 2 years after diagnosis of T2D and were therefore not included in the current analysis. However, patient characteristics were similar overall among included and excluded patients indicating major selection bias is not likely. Although some patient characteristics differed between the included and excluded patients, they were overall numerically small, except for HbA1c where a somewhat greater difference existed at 58.1 mmol/mol (7.5%) vs 54.3 mmol/mol (7.1%). Mean HbA1c was somewhat lower among excluded patients possibly indicating slightly lower prevalence of DR in this population. Nevertheless, even if a lower proportion of excluded patients had DR, the overall proportion of patients having DR would still be relatively high. It is unclear to what extent those patients without data on DR in the NDR lacked a retinal screening or if results of screening had not been recorded. The NDR is dependent on health professionals registering information on retinopathy in the NDR based on clinical eye examinations. The study was limited that a minority of patients had information on albuminuria, creatinine levels, and grading of retinopathy at the time of diagnosis of T2D and these variables were therefore not included in the analyses.

Since a large proportion of persons with T2D in Sweden reach HbA1c targets, indicating high overall quality of diabetes care compared with many other countries, similar challenges in terms of detecting persons with T2D at an early stage of hyperglycemia seem likely in other European countries and parts of the world. It also seems likely that slightly lowering glycemic targets (eg, from 52 mmol/mol to 48 mmol/mol) in patients with established T2D, often intensively debated, may have relatively little influence on prognosis,¹⁶ whereas many individuals with much higher levels remain undetected in turn leading to complications already at diagnosis. Therefore, we view early detection of T2D as a key challenge to resolve in the field of T2D.

In conclusion, intensified screening for T2D in clinical practice is needed in Sweden since almost one-fifth of these persons have retinopathy at diagnosis indicating long-standing hyperglycemia. The prevalence of DR was higher in certain patient groups including men, birthplace outside of Sweden, and those with a history of stroke, kidney, disease, and high SBP. Further research is needed to develop efficient strategies and programs to not only screen for T2D at random in clinical practice but also more structured screening to detect T2D earlier. This is of particular concern since many persons may have hyperglycemia before diagnosis and are not targets of efficient prevention strategies for complications before diagnosis.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Medicine, NU-Hospital Group, Uddevalla, Sweden ²Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

³National Diabetes Register, Centre of Registers, Gothenburg, Sweden
⁴Department of Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

Acknowledgements We thank all clinicians involved in the care of individuals with type 2 diabetes for data collection and we also thank the staff at the NDR. We also want to thank Caddie Zhou, Joakim Ruist, Albin Nydén, and Hanne Carlsen for support with statistical analyses

Contributors All authors were involved in the design, analyses, interpretation of results, and critical review of the manuscript and approved submission. SS and ML wrote the first draft of the manuscript. ML as the corresponding author had full access to the studied data and takes responsibility for data integrity and accuracy of analyses.

Funding The Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the county councils, the ALF-agreement [ALFGBG-966173] and The Fyrbodal Research Council.

Competing interests SS has been a consultant for AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi. KE-O has received fees for lecturing and/or for consulting from Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Eli Lilly, and Abbott Diabetes Care. ML has been a consultant for Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, NordicInfu Care, and Novo Nordisk and received research grants from Eli Lilly and Novo Nordisk.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (Dnr 977-17). We conducted a registry-based study using data from the NDR. After patients provide verbal informed consent, data are reported directly to the NDR from clinical visits to primary care clinics and hospital diabetes clinics.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Data can be accessed after a written research proposal and support from investigators and upon request and after legal procedures have taken place for making data transfer possible.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Sheyda Sofizadeh http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3701-5065 Katarina Eeg-Olofsson http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3376-4707

REFERENCES

- 1 Nentwich MM, Ulbig MW. Diabetic retinopathy ocular
- complications of diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes* 2015;6:489–99.
 UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Intensive bloodglucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with

Epidemiology/Health services research

conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 1998;352:837–53.

- 3 Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, Nathan DM. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulindependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329:977–86.
- 4 The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The relationship of glycemic exposure (HbA1c) to the risk of development and progression of retinopathy in the diabetes control and complications trial. *Diabetes* 1995;44:968–83.
- 5 Stratton IM, Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, *et al.* UKPDS 50: risk factors for incidence and progression of retinopathy in type II diabetes over 6 years from diagnosis. *Diabetologia* 2001;44:156–63.
- 6 Harris MI, Klein Ř, Welborn TA, *et al.* Onset of NIDDM occurs at least 4-7 yr before clinical diagnosis. *Diabetes Care* 1992;15:815–9.
- 7 UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. *BMJ* 1998;317:703–13.
- 8 Yin L, Zhang D, Ren Q, *et al*. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in diabetic patients: a community based cross-sectional study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020;99:e19236.
- 9 Magliah SF, Bardisi W, Al Attah M, et al. The prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in selected primary care centers during the 3-year screening intervals. J Family Med Prim Care 2018;7:975–81.
- 10 Amer J, Suboh R, Abualrob M, *et al.* Risk factors associated with diabetic retinopathy: a cross-sectional study within Palestinian patients in Northern West Bank. *Front Clin Diabetes Healthc* 2021;2:736715.
- 11 Perais J, Agarwal R, Evans JR, *et al.* Prognostic factors for the development and progression of proliferative diabetic retinopathy in people with diabetic retinopathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2023;2:CD013775.
- 12 Swedish National Diabetes Register. Region västra götaland: centre of registers västra götaland. Available: http://www.ndr.nu [Accessed 04 May 2024].
- 13 Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, et al. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1577–89.
- 14 Lind M, Imberg H, Coleman RL, *et al.* Historical HbA1c values may explain the type 2 diabetes legacy effect: UKPDS 88. *Diabetes Care* 2021;44:2231–7.
- 15 Cai K, Liu YP, Wang D. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2023;39:e3586.
- 16 Tancredi M, Rosengren A, Svensson A-M, et al. Excess mortality among persons with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1720–32.
- 17 Appelros P, Terént A. Validation of the Swedish inpatient and cause-of-death registers in the context of stroke. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2011;123:289–93.
- 18 Ludvigsson JF, Svedberg P, Olén O, et al. The longitudinal integrated database for health insurance and labour market studies (LISA) and its use in medical research. Eur J Epidemiol 2019;34:423–37.
- 19 Sharif A, Smith DR, Hellgren K-J, *et al*. Diabetic retinopathy among the elderly with type 2 diabetes: a nationwide longitudinal registry study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2024;10:2024.
- 20 Seyed Ahmadi S, Svensson A-M, Pivodic A, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation in persons with type 2 diabetes and the excess risk in relation to glycaemic control and renal function: a Swedish cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2020;19:9.
- 21 Hanas R, John G, International HBA1c Consensus Committee. 2010 consensus statement on the worldwide standardization of the hemoglobin A1C measurement. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:1903–4.
- 22 Nordin G. Accuracy of HBA1c as monitored by external quality assessment and compared with patient mean values. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2018;12:771–9.
- 23 American Diabetes Association. Standards of care in diabetes-2023 abridged for primary care providers [published correction appears in Clin Diabetes. 2023 Spring;41(2):328]. *Clin Diabetes* 2022;41:4–31.
- 24 World Health Organization. A healthy lifestyle WHO recommendations. 2010. Available: https://www.who.int/

europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/a-healthy-lifestyle---whorecommendations [Accessed 04 May 2024].

- 25 Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2011;46:399–424.
- 26 Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd Edn. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, 1988.
- 27 Funk MJ, Westreich D, Wiesen C, et al. Doubly robust estimation of causal effects. Am J Epidemiol 2011;173:761–7.
- 28 Palladino R, Tabak AG, Khunti K, et al. Association between pre-diabetes and microvascular and macrovascular disease in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2020;8:e001061.
- 29 Looker HC, Nyangoma SO, Cromie D, et al. Diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in Scotland. *Diabetologia* 2012;55:2335–42.
- 30 Wahab S, Mahmood N, Shaikh Z, *et al*. Frequency of retinopathy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2008;58:557–61.
- 31 Tălu S, Kaucsar E, Soreanu A. Retinopatia diabetică la pacienții nou diagnosticați cu diabet zaharat tip II [Diabetic retinopathy in newly diagnosed patients with type II diabetes mellitus]. *Oftalmologia* 2002;54:27–30.
- 32 Hwang H, Kim JY, Oh TK, *et al.* Relationship between clinical features of diabetic retinopathy and systemic factors in patients with newly diagnosed type II diabetes mellitus. *J Korean Med Sci* 2020;35:e179.
- 33 Munch IC, Kessel L, Borch-Johnsen K, et al. Microvascular retinopathy in subjects without diabetes: the Inter99 eye study. Acta Ophthalmologica 2012;90:613–9.
- 34 Hao Z, Huang X, Qin Y, et al. Analysis of factors related to diabetic retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2020;10:e032095.
- 35 Ozawa GY, Bearse MA Jr, Adams AJ. Male-female differences in diabetic retinopathy? *Curr Eye Res* 2015;40:234–46.
- 36 Silva PS, Cavallerano JD, Sun JK, et al. Effect of systemic medications on Onset and progression of diabetic retinopathy. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2010;6:494–508.
- 37 Jeng CJ, Hsieh YT, Lin CL, *et al.* Effect of anticoagulant/antiplatelet therapy on the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2022;22:127.
- 38 Nationella Diabetesregistret. Årsrapport. 2022. Available: www.ndr. nu [Accessed 21 Jul 2023].
- 39 Davidson KW, Barry MJ, Mangione CM, et al. Screening for prediabetes and type 2 diabetes: US preventive services task force recommendation statement. JAMA 2021;326:736–43.
- 40 Lindström J, Tuomilehto J. The diabetes risk score: a practical tool to predict type 2 diabetes risk. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:725–31.
- 41 Simmons RK, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Sharp SJ, et al. Screening for type 2 diabetes and population mortality over 10 years (ADDITION-Cambridge): a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2012;380:1741–8.
- 42 Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, *et al.* Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in Lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:1343–50.
- 43 Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Shetty AS, et al. Trends in prevalence of diabetes in Asian countries. World J Diabetes 2012;3:110–7.
- 44 Ma RC, Chan JC. Type 2 diabetes in East Asians: similarities and differences with populations in Europe and the United States. *Ann N* Y Acad Sci 2013;1281:64–91.
- 45 Nugawela MD, Gurudas S, Prevost AT, *et al.* Ethnic disparities in the development of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in a UK multi-ethnic population with diabetes: an observational cohort study. *J Pers Med* 2021;11:740.
- 46 Olvera-Barrios A, Owen CG, Anderson J, *et al.* Ethnic disparities in progression rates for sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in diabetic eye screening: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2023;11:e003683.